Generation of correlated photons in controlled spatial modes by downconversion in nonlinear waveguides

Konrad Banaszek, Alfred B. U'Ren, and Ian A. Walmsley

The Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

We report the observation of correlated photon pairs generated by spontaneous parametric downconversion of a 400-nm pump pulse in a quasi-phase-matched KTiOPO$_4$ nonlinear waveguide. The highest ratio of coincidence to single-photon count rates observed near 800 nm exceeds 18%. This suggests that nonlinear waveguides will be a promising source of correlated photons for metrology and quantum information processing applications. We also discuss possibilities of controlling the spatial characteristics of the downconverted photons produced in multimode waveguide structures. © 2001 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 270.5290, 060.4370.

Spontaneous parametric downconversion is a well-established and practical method for generating pairs of correlated photons. Such pairs are a key ingredient of many experiments testing the foundations of quantum mechanics and in quantum information processing.[1] Correlated photons have been also used in a number of metrologic applications.[2–6]

In this Letter we report observation of two-photon correlations in the spontaneous parametric downconversion generated in a quasi-phase-matched KTiOPO$_4$ waveguide with a $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinearity. As a source of correlated photon pairs, such nonlinear waveguides present several essential advantages over bulk crystals, the typical medium for such sources. First, the technique of quasi-phase matching allows one to utilize larger nonlinear coefficients in some materials, thus leading to substantially higher two-photon production rates. Second, the waveguide structure provides a means to control precisely the spatial characteristics of generated photons, as the downconversion process is confined to well-defined transverse modes.[7,8] Appropriate control of the spatiotemporal mode structure of the photons is a critical issue in experiments involving interference between multiple photon pairs.[1] High-visibility interference of photon pairs generated by downconversion in bulk crystals can be achieved only by significant spatial filtering, which dramatically reduces the useful fraction of photon pairs. In contrast, downconversion in a nonlinear waveguide can provide output in a single spatial mode, which ensures good interference without filtering with a significantly larger sample of photon pairs. This feature, combined with the potential of engineering the temporal properties of the downconverted photons in quasi-phase-matched structures,[9] makes possible novel ways for controlling the spatiotemporal characteristics of correlated photon pairs. The use of nonlinear waveguides thus provides a route to the efficient, if random, generation of "heralded" single photons, as an alternative to solid-state sources.[10]

Observation of parametric downconversion in nonlinear waveguides has been the subject of three recent experiments. Two of them[11,12] consisted of detecting Hanbury-Brown–Twiss-type coincidences on the whole downconversion signal divided by a 50:50 beam splitter. The third experiment[13] measured a Franson-type two-photon interference effect by use of an unbalanced Michelson interferometer. A novel feature of our experiment is that we separate all the photon pairs of interest into two different spatial paths with the help of a spectrometer. By doing this, we have been able to demonstrate explicitly strong correlations between photons of different frequencies. The central objective of our approach was to show that by treating one path as a trigger one is able to collect effectively all the conjugate photons in the second path. Thus the quantity of primary interest in our experiment is the ratio of coincidences to single trigger counts, and the setup presented below can be viewed as a scheme for generating single photons in the temporal slots defined by the pump pulse, with the arrival time known to femtosecond precision.

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 1. The output of a mode-locked Ti:sapphire oscillator is first doubled in a type I β-barium borate crystal to generate blue pulses with a central wavelength of 418 nm and a bandwidth of 5 nm, polarized perpendicularly to the plane of the figure. The blue light is focused by a 20× microscope objective on the input face of a 1-mm-long quasi-phase-matched KTP waveguide. The production and the characteristics of the sample used in our experiment have been described elsewhere.[14,15] The light power injected into the waveguide, measured before the objective, is ~22 μW. The bandwidth of the downconverted light for the parameters of our experiment is well over 100 nm, and the downconverted photons have the same polarization as the pump field.

The output from the waveguide is coupled out with a laser-diode collimating lens and transmitted through a RG665 red filter and a half-wave plate that rotates the polarization by 90°. The separation of the twin photons is performed with a zero dispersion line employing two Brewster-angle SF10 prisms and two $f = 10$ cm lenses. In the Fourier plane after the first prism, a multimode fiber tip mounted on a translation stage is used to collect the trigger photons and send them to a fiber-coupled photon-counting module. The

Fig. 1. Experimental setup for detecting correlated pairs of photons. BBO, β-barium borate crystal for second-harmonic generation; BF, blue filter; MO, microscope objective; WG, nonlinear waveguide; RF, red filter; RB, razor blade; SPCM, single-photon-counting module; $\lambda/2$, half-wave plate. The inset depicts the separation of the signal and trigger photons that is performed in the Fourier plane after the first prism.

FWHM wavelength range collected by the fiber tip is ~6 nm. A second element placed in the Fourier plane is a knife edge that is used to block the low-wavelength signal photons, which are not conjugated to the trigger photons. Placing the knife edge lowers the single-count rate on the signal detector, thus reducing the number of accidental coincidences. The remaining signal photons are recombined into a single beam by a second lens and a prism and focused onto the active area of a free-space photon-counting module (EG&G SPCM-AQ-CD2749). The half-wave plate and all the lenses placed in the downconversion beam have a broadband antireflection coating in the 800-nm region. We calibrate the position of the fiber tip in terms of the coupled trigger photon wavelengths by sending through the waveguide light from the Ti:sapphire laser operated in the cw mode at several wavelengths in the range 840–870 nm.

The electronic signals from the photon-counting modules are shaped by use of discriminators to standard negative logic pulses with a 5-ns width. These pulses are sent to counters that measure the single rates R_s and R_t of the signal and trigger photons and also feed inputs of an AND gate, providing the coincidences, whose rate R_c is measured by a third counter. In Table 1 we present the count rates obtained over a 300-s counting interval for several positions of the fiber tip that collects the trigger photons. The dark count rates, measured with the blocked blue pump beam, were below 100 Hz for the trigger fiber-coupled module and below 8 kHz for the free-space signal module.

The maximum ratio of coincidence to single counts observed in our experiment is 18.5%. After correction for accidentals, which leads to a minor change of a few percent, the resulting value can be considered the overall detection efficiency of the signal photons, including all the losses since their generation in the waveguide. The main source of imperfect detection is probably the nonunit efficiency of the free-space photon-counting module used in the setup, which was optimized for 630 nm, including the wavelength-specific antireflection coating of the photodiode. Another important source of losses may originate from the design of the waveguide itself, which includes nonguiding sections with the same optical characteristics as the surrounding bulk crystal.[14]

To our knowledge, the highest ratio of coincidences to singles measured so far, exceeding 75% at 702 nm after corrections for losses, was reported by Kwiat et al.[3] Typical coincidence-count rates observed by them were roughly 10–20 times lower than ours, at similar pump powers. In their experiment good spatial correlations between the downconverted photons were ensured by spatial filtering to a well-defined transverse wave vector and also by the use of a long nonlinear crystal, which introduced more-stringent phase-matching conditions. It should be kept in mind, however, that at the same time this strengthens the frequency correlations between the downconverted photons.[16,17] Consequently, spectral filtering of the trigger photon path leads to a much narrower bandwidth of the signal photons. The essential advantage of nonlinear waveguides is that the shorter length allows for efficient generation of heralded photons in broadband, possibly femtosecond, wave packets, while retaining good spatial control. We note that the generation of single photons in well-defined spatiotemporal modes is possible also in thin bulk crystals by appropriate filtering of trigger photons, as demonstrated in a recent experiment by Lvovsky et al.,[18] but at the cost of extremely low detection rates.

The nonlinear waveguide used in our experiment supports several modes at both the pump and downconversion wavelengths.[15] The multimode structure of the downcoversion signal can be in principle suppressed by exploitation of the modal dispersion in the waveguide. Consider the wave function describing

Table 1. Signal (R_s), Trigger (R_t), and Coincidence (R_c) Count Rates for Several Positions of the Fiber Tip, Labeled with the Central Wavelength λ_c Coupled to the Fiber[a]

λ_c (nm)	R_s (kHz)	R_t (Hz)	R_c (Hz)	R_c/R_t (%)
909	726	3755	671	17.84
897	582	4866	859	17.66
885	702	5692	1055	18.54
872	584	6397	1171	18.31
860	403	7473	1341	17.94
848	277	8149	1409	17.29

[a]Statistical errors are of the order of or smaller than the lowest digits shown.

Fig. 2. Phase-matching functions for downconversion from the $l = 00$ pump mode to several pairs of the signal and idler modes. The first-order quasi-phase-matched structure is assumed to have a period of 3.9375 μm and a length of 1.3 mm. All the parameters of the waveguide are taken from Ref. 15. For convenience, the frequency axes are labeled with the corresponding wavelengths.

the generated photon pairs.[16,17] In a waveguide this wave function is a superposition of the terms of the following form:

$$|\psi_{lmn}\rangle = \int d\omega_s \int d\omega_i \alpha(\omega_s + \omega_i)$$
$$\times \Phi_{lmn}(\omega_s, \omega_i)\hat{a}_m^\dagger(\omega_s)\hat{a}_n^\dagger(\omega_i)|vac\rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where ω_s and ω_i define the signal and the idler frequencies, respectively, $\alpha(\omega)$ is the spectral envelope of the pump pulse, $\Phi_{lmn}(\omega_s, \omega_i)$ is the phase-matching function, and $\hat{a}_m^\dagger(\omega_s)$ and $\hat{a}_n^\dagger(\omega_i)$ are the creation operators for the specified frequencies and modes, respectively. The indices lmn label the triplet of the pump mode l and the pair of the signal mode m and idler mode n. The relative weights of the components $|\psi_{lmn}\rangle$ in the complete superposition are defined by the coupling strength of the pump field to a specific waveguide mode and by the overlap of the pump mode with the product of the downconversion modes.

In Fig. 2 we plot $\Phi_{lmn}(\omega_s, \omega_i)$ for several different triplets of the modes, using the dispersion data from Ref. 15. It can be seen that the phase-matching functions corresponding to different triplets occupy separate regions of the plane of the frequencies ω_s and ω_i. According to Eq. (1), downconverted photons are generated only for pairs of frequencies ω_s and ω_i whose sum lies within the bandwidth of the pump pulse. Thus, if we select the pump-pulse spectral amplitude $\alpha(\omega_s + \omega_i)$ such that it overlaps with only a single phase-matching function $\Phi_{lmn}(\omega_s, \omega_i)$, then the signal and idler photons are effectively generated in single spatial modes.

In conclusion, we have observed correlated photon pairs in single spatial modes generated by downconversion in a quasi-phase-matched nonlinear waveguide. Compared with downconverison in bulk crystals, this process has several important advantages, including high brightness and control of the spatial characteristics of the produced photons. These features make nonlinear waveguides a promising source of nonclassical radiation in quantum information and metrology applications.

References

1. D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger, eds., *The Physics of Quantum Information* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
2. J. G. Rarity, K. D. Ridley, and P. R. Tapster, Appl. Opt. **26,** 4616 (1987).
3. P. G. Kwiat, A. M. Steinberg, R. Y. Chiao, P. H. Eberhard, and M. D. Petroff, Phys. Rev. A **48,** R867 (1993).
4. P. G. Kwiat, A. M. Steinberg, R. Y. Chiao, P. H. Eberhard, and M. D. Petroff, Appl. Opt. **33,** 1844 (1994).
5. A. Migdall, R. Datla, A. Sergienko, J. S. Orszak, and Y. H. Shih, Appl. Opt. **37,** 3455 (1998).
6. D. Branning, A. L. Migdall, and A. V. Sergienko, Phys. Rev. A **62,** 063808 (2000).
7. M. E. Anderson, M. Beck, M. G. Raymer, and J. D. Bierlein, Opt. Lett. **20,** 620 (1995).
8. D. K. Serkland, P. Kumar, M. A. Arbore, and M. M. Fejer, Opt. Lett. **22,** 1497 (1997).
9. A. B. U'Ren and I. A. Walmsley, in *Digest of OSA Annual Meeting* (Optical Society of America, Washington, D.C., 2000), paper ME2.
10. P. Michler, A. Kiraz, C. Becher, W. V. Schoenfeld, P. M. Petroff, L. Zhang, E. Hu, and A. Imamoglu, Science **290,** 2282 (2000).
11. G. Bonfrate, V. Pruneri, P. G. Kazansky, P. Tapster, and J. G. Rarity, Appl. Phys. Lett. **75,** 2356 (1999).
12. S. Tanzilli, H. de Riedmatten, W. Tittel, P. Baldi, M. de Micheli, D. B. Ostrowsky, and N. Gisin, Electron. Lett. **37,** 26 (2001).
13. K. Sanaka, K. Kawahara, and T. Kuga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86,** 5620 (2001).
14. J. D. Bierlein, in *Guided Wave Nonlinear Optics*, D. B. Ostrowsky and R. Reinisch, eds. (Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992), p. 49.
15. M. G. Roelofs, A. Suna, W. Bindloss, and J. D. Bierlein, J. Appl. Phys. **76,** 4999 (1994).
16. W. P. Grice and I. A. Walmsley, Phys. Rev. A **56,** 1627 (1997).
17. T. E. Keller and M. H. Rubin, Phys. Rev. A **56,** 1534 (1997).
18. A. I. Lvovsky, H. Hansen, T. Aichele, O. Benson, J. Mlynek, and S. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87,** 050402 (2001).